arXiv:1206.5522v1 [math.AT] 24 Jun 2012

FACTORIZATION HOMOLOGY OF TOPOLOGICAL MANIFOLDS

JOHN FRANCIS

Abstract. The factorization homology of topological manifolds, after Beilinson & Drinfeld and Lurie, is a homology-type theory for topological n-manifolds whose coefficient systems are n-disk algebras. In this work we prove a precise formulation of this idea, giving an axiomatic characterization of factorization homology in terms of a generalization of the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for singular homology. These homology theories give rise to a specific kind of topological quantum field theory, which can be characterized by a condition that observables can be naturally defined on general n-manifolds, not only closed n-manifolds, and that global observables are determined by local observables. This axiomatic point of view has a number of applications, some in joint work with David Ayala & Hiro Lee Tanaka, surveyed in the remainder of this article. In particular, we discuss the nonabelian Poincaré duality of Salvatore and Lurie; the relation of Koszul duality of n-disk algebras with factorization homology; calculations of factorization homology for free n-disk algebras and enveloping algebras of Lie algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. B-framed disks and manifolds 5
3. Homology theories for topological manifolds 7
4. Iterated loop spaces and nonabelian Poincaré duality 11
5. Commutative algebras, Lie algebras, free algebras, and Koszul duality 13
6. Calculi of functors and spectral sequences 20

References 22

1. Introduction

Factorization homology, or the topological chiral homology of Lurie [L2], is a homology theory for topological manifolds. This short work makes this statement precise, provides basic consequences afforded by this point of view, and outlines some subsequent and future work. The subject of factorization homology has only recently been studied in detail, but it has important roots and antecedents. Firstly, it derives from the factorization algebras of Beilinson & Drinfeld [BD], a profound and elegant algebro-geometric elaboration on the role of configuration space integrals in conformal field theory. Our work is in essence a topological version of theirs, although the topological setting allows for arguments and conclusions ostensibly unavailable in the algebraic geometry. Secondly, it has an antecedent in the labeled configuration space models of mapping spaces dating to the 1970s; it is closest to the models of Salvatore [S1] and Segal [Se3], but see also [K], [Bö], [Mc], [M], and [Se1]. Factorization homology thus lies at the broad nexus of Segal's ideas on conformal field theory [Se2] and his ideas on mapping spaces articulated in [Se1] and [Se3].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N40. Secondary 57R56, 57N35.

Key words and phrases. Factorization algebras. $\mathcal{E}_n$-algebras. Topological quantum field theory. Topological chiral homology. Koszul duality. Little n-disks operad. ∞-Categories. Goodwillie-Weiss manifold calculus.

This work was supported by supported by the National Science Foundation under Award number 0902974; the writing was completed while at the Université Pierre et Marie Curie in Jussieu as a guest of the Foundation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris.

In keeping with these two directions, we offer two primary motivations for the study of factorization homology. Returning to our first point, factorization homology is a homology theory for topological manifolds satisfying a generalization of the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for ordinary homology; as such, it is a generalization of ordinary homology which can exist by allowing a theory to only give values for n-manifolds but not necessarily for all topological spaces. Second, these homology theories define topological quantum field theories. These theories have a particular motivation from this origin in mathematical physics; they correspond to n-dimensional field theories whose observables can be defined on all n-manifolds, not only closed n-manifolds, and where the global observables are determined by the local observables.

We first elaborate on the homology theory motivation, which serves as the main artery running through this work. One can pose the following question: what can a homology theory for topological manifolds be? Singular homology, of course, provides one answer. One might not want it to be the only answer, since singular homology is not specific to manifolds and can be equally well defined for all topological spaces; likewise, it is functorial with respect to all maps of spaces, not just those that are specifically meaningful for manifolds (such as embeddings or submersions). One could thus ask for a homology theory which is specific to manifolds, not defined on all spaces, and which might thereby distinguish manifolds which are homotopic but not homeomorphic and distinguish embeddings that are homotopic but not isotopic through embeddings. That is, one could ask for a homology theory for manifolds that can detect the more refined and interesting aspects of manifold topology. The question then becomes, do such homology theories exist?

One might address this question by first making it more precise, by defining exactly what one means by a homology theory for manifolds; since we know what a homology theory for spaces constitutes, by the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms, one might simply modify those axioms as little as possible, but so as to work only for manifolds and with the maximum possibility that new theories might arise.

Reformulating the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms slightly, one can think of an ordinary homology theory $\mathcal{F}$ as a functor $\mathsf{Spaces}^{\mathsf{fin}} \to \mathsf{Ch}$ from the topological category of spaces homotopy equivalent to finite CW complexes to the topological category of chain complexes satisfying two conditions:

- The natural map $\bigoplus_J \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha) \to \mathcal{F}(\coprod_J X_\alpha)$ is an equivalence for any finite set J;
- Excision: for any diagram of cofibrations of spaces $X' \hookleftarrow X \hookrightarrow X''$, the resulting map

  $$\mathcal{F}(X') \underset{\mathcal{F}(X)}{\oplus} \mathcal{F}(X'') \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\Big(X' \underset{X}{\sqcup} X''\Big)$$

  is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes.

The first condition can be restated as saying that the functor $\mathcal{F}$ is symmetric monoidal with respect to the disjoint union and direct sum. Let $\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Spaces}, \mathsf{Ch}^{\oplus})$ the collection of such functors. The Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms can then be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Eilenberg-Steenrod)**.** *Evaluation on a point,* $\mathsf{ev}_* : \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Spaces}, \mathsf{Ch}^{\oplus}) \to \mathsf{Ch}$*, defines an equivalence between homology theories valued in chain complexes with direct sum and chain complexes. The inverse is given by singular homology, the functor assigning to a chain complex* V *the functor* $\mathsf{C}_*(-, V)$ *of singular chains with coefficients in* V*.*

In particular, given any such homology theory $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the functor of singular chains with coefficients in a chain complex V, $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathsf{C}_*(-, \mathcal{F}(*))$, where $\mathcal{F}(*)$ is the value of $\mathcal{F}$ on a single point. Further, every natural transformation of homology theories $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ is determined by the map $\mathcal{F}(*) \to \mathcal{F}'(*)$.

To adapt this definition to manifolds, we make two substitutions:

1. We replace $\mathsf{Spaces}^{\mathsf{fin}}$ with Mfld_n, the collection of topological n-manifolds, not necessarily closed, with embeddings as morphisms.
2. We replace the target $\mathsf{Ch}^{\oplus}$ by a general symmetric monoidal ∞-category $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$.

We can then define $\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ as consisting of all symmetric monoidal functors from Mfld_n to $\mathcal{C}$ which satisfy a monoidal version of excision. We then have the following analogue of the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms, for $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ a symmetric monoidal ∞-category satisfying a technical condition, see Definition 3.2.

Theorem 1.2. *There is an equivalence between homology theories for topological n-manifolds valued in $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ and n-disk algebras in $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$*

$$\int : \mathsf{Disk}_n\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) : \mathsf{ev}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

and this equivalence is implemented by the factorization homology functor $\int$ from the left, and evaluation on $\mathbb{R}^n$ from the right.

The n-disk algebras appearing in the theorem are equivalent to the $\mathcal{E}_n$-algebras of Boardman & Vogt [BV] together with an extra compatible action of the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, at first glance, this result appears different and more complicated than the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms because instead of having $\mathcal{C}$, we instead have n-disk algebras in $\mathcal{C}$. This can be explained because the object $\mathbb{R}^n$ has more structure than the point; for instance, automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ form an interesting and noncontractible space, whereas the automorphisms of a point is just a point. Nonetheless, our result does specialize to that of Eilenberg & Steenrod, as we shall see in Example 3.12. In particular, in the example $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} = \mathsf{Ch}^{\oplus}$, these n-disk algebras are equivalent to just chain complexes with an action of the automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and these homology theories are then just ordinary homology twisted by the tangent bundle.

This result has a number of immediate applications and leads to new proofs of known results. For instance, it gives a one line proof that factorization homology of the circle, in the case $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ is chain complexes with tensor product, is Hochschild homology. It also gives a new and short proof of the nonabelian Poincaré duality of Salvatore [S1] and Lurie [L2]. We give further results and computations in Sections 4 & 5. These include a number of results that make no specific reference to factorization homology. For instance, we are able to conclude from several factorization homology computations that suspension spectra of configurations spaces form a homotopy invariant of closed topological manifolds (Theorem 5.21); the bar construction of a free $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra is the free $\mathsf{Disk}_{n-1}^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra on the suspension (Proposition 5.11); the Hochschild homology of an enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra $\mathsf{U}\mathfrak{g}$ is dual to the Hochschild homology of the Lie algebra cohomology $\mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g}$ (Theorem 5.22).

The second motivation for factorization homology comes from mathematical physics. In the various axiomatics for topological quantum field theory after Segal [Se2], one restricts to compact manifolds, possibly with boundary; the locality of a quantum field theory is then reflected in the functoriality of gluing cobordisms. However, it is frequently possible to define a quantum field theory on noncompact manifolds, such as Euclidean space, given a choice of Lagrangian. Since there are more embeddings between general noncompact manifolds than between closed manifolds, one might then expect the axiomatics of this situation to be slightly different were one to account for the structure in which one can restrict a field, or extend an observable, along an open embedding $M \hookrightarrow M'$.

Our notion of a homology theory for manifolds is thus simultaneously an attempt to axiomatize the structure of the observables in a quantum field theory which is topologically invariant – here the $\otimes$-excision of the homology theory becomes a version of the locality of the field theory – and Theorem 3.10 becomes an algebraic characterization of part of the structure of such quantum field theories. There is another characterization of extended topological field theories, namely the Baez-Dolan cobordism hypothesis, Lurie's proof of which is outlined in [L3], building on earlier work with

Hopkins, and these two characterizations are comparable. That is, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\sim} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\int"'] & \left(\mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\sim}\right)^{\mathsf{O}(n)} \arrow[d, "Z"] \\
\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\sim} \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Bord}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}, \mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

We briefly explain the terms in this picture: $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}$ and $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}$ are the ∞-categories of smooth n-disks and n-manifolds with smooth embeddings; $\mathsf{Bord}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}$ is the (∞, n)-category of smooth bordisms of manifolds from [L3]; and $\mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ is the higher Morita category, where k-morphisms are $\mathsf{Disk}_{n-k}^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebras in bimodules; the superscript $\sim$ denotes that we have taken the underlying ∞-groupoids, restricting to invertible morphisms. The bottom horizontal functor from homology theories valued in $\mathcal{C}$ to topological quantum field theories valued in $\mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$, $\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\sim} \to \mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Bord}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}, \mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}))$, assigns to a homology theory $\mathcal{F}$ the functor on the bordism category sending a k-manifold M to $\mathcal{F}(M^{\circ} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k})$, the value of $\mathcal{F}$ on a thickening of the interior of M.

Our characterization of homology theories can thereby be seen as an analogue of the cobordism hypothesis for the observables in a topological quantum field theory where one allows for noncompact manifolds and a strong locality principle by which local observables determine global observables.[1] Not all topological field theories come from such homology theories, and the question of which do and do not is an interesting one. Costello & Gwilliam use closely related ideas in studying more general quantum field theories which are not topologically invariant, and the setting of their work suggests that perturbative quantum field theories are exactly those amenable to this characterization; see [CG] and [Gw]. The structure of observables of a topological quantum field theory which is not perturbative is better characterized by a generalization of factorization homology with coefficients given by a stack over n-disk algebras [F1], e.g., an algebraic variety X whose ring of functions $\mathcal{O}_X$ is enhanced to have a compatible structure of an n-disk algebra.

Factorization homology and related ideas have recently become the subject of closer study; in addition to Lurie's originating work, see [L2] and [L3], and Costello & Gwiliam [CG], see also [A], [GTZ2], [Gw], and [MW]. We expect the theory of factorization homology to be a source of interesting future mathematics and to carry many important manifold invariants. Especially fertile ground lies in low-dimensional topology, in the study of 3-manifold and knot invariants, where invariants stemming from the homology of configuration spaces are already prevalent. This is a source of work with Ayala & Tanaka in [AFT], where we construct factorization knot homology theories from a 3-disk algebra with extra structure, and with Costello [CF], where we express certain finite-type knot and 3-manifold invariants in terms of factorization homology.

It is a compelling general question as to how much of manifold topology can be captured by factorization homology; this question is closely related to the Goodwillie-Weiss manifold calculus [W]. Factorization homology of M is determined by an object $\mathbb{E}_M$, the presheaf of spaces on n-disks determined by embeddings into M, which is an a priori weaker invariant of M than M itself. $\mathbb{E}_M$ encodes the homotopy type of M, the homotopy type of all higher configuration spaces $\mathsf{Conf}_j(M)$ of M, and the tangent bundles $T\,\mathsf{Conf}_i(M)$, as well as coherence data relating these, and it would be very interesting to know when this is sufficient to reconstruct M.

Remark 1.3. In this work, we use Joyal's *quasicategory* model of ∞-category theory [Jo]. Boardman & Vogt first introduced these simplicial sets in [BV], as weak Kan complexes, and their and Joyal's theory has been developed most recently in great depth by Lurie in [L1] and [L2], our primary references; see the first chapter of [L1] for an introduction. We use this model, rather than model categories or simplicial categories, because of the great technical advantages for constructions involving categories of functors, which are ubiquitous in this work. The reader uncomfortable with this language can substitute the words "topological category" for "∞-category" wherever they occur in this paper to obtain the correct sense of the results, but they should then bear in mind the proviso that technical difficulties may then abound in making the statements literally true. The reader only concerned with algebra in chain complexes, rather than spectra, can likewise substitute "pre-triangulated differential graded category" for "stable ∞-category" wherever those words appear, with the same proviso.

---

[1] There is a slight, but interesting difference, in that the homology theory characterization applies successfully to topological manifolds (as well as piecewise linear or smooth), whereas the cobordism hypothesis requires that the manifolds involved have at least a piecewise linear structure; the absence of triangulations for nonsmoothable topological 4-manifolds appears as a genuine obstruction.

Acknowledgments 1.4. I foremost thank Kevin Costello for many conversations on this subject, which have motivated and clarified this work, from Theorem 3.10 to the computations of the following sections, and without which I likely would not have pursued it. Joint work with David Ayala and Hiro Tanaka builds and improves on many of the ideas here, and I thank them for their collaboration. I first learned the basic idea of factorization homology in conversations with Jacob Lurie and Dennis Gaitsgory in 2007, and I have benefitted greatly from this and their generosity in sharing many other insights in these intervening years. I thank Sasha Beilinson and Mike Hopkins for their great influence in shaping my thinking of this subject. I also thank Grégory Ginot and Owen Gwilliam for helpful conversations and Pranav Prandit for comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

2. B-FRAMED DISKS AND MANIFOLDS

We now specify the details of our basic objects of study, n-manifolds.

Definition 2.1. $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\sqcup}$ is the symmetric monoidal ∞-category associated to the topological category of topological n-manifolds which have finite covers by Euclidean spaces,[2] with embeddings as morphisms and monoidal structure given by disjoint union.

That is, the mapping space is $\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{Mfld}_n}(M,N) = \mathsf{Emb}(M,N)$, the space of embeddings of M into N equipped with the compact-open topology. Note that disjoint union is not the coproduct; Mfld_n has almost no nontrivial colimits, except possibly certain geometric realizations.

We will be particularly interested in n-manifolds with some extra structure, such as an orientation or a framing, which we formulate as follows: let B be a space with a map $B \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$ to the classifying space of the topological group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that for M an n-manifold of dimension n, M has a tangent microbundle, see [MS]; the microbundle is classified by a map $\tau_M : M \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$.

Definition 2.2. The ∞-category Mfld_n^B of B-framed topological n-manifolds is the limit making the following diagram Cartesian:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Spaces}_{/B} \arrow[d] \\
\mathsf{Mfld}_n \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Spaces}_{/\mathsf{BTop}(n)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[2] Thus, any $M \in \mathsf{Mfld}_n$ has finitely many connected components, each of which is the interior of a compact manifold with (possibly empty) boundary. This size restriction is not an essential requirement; since all noncompact manifolds are built as filtered colimits of such smaller manifolds, this smallness condition could be removed and one could instead add to Definition 3.4 the requirement that a homology theory preserves filtered colimits.

In particular, for two B-framed manifolds, M and N, the space of B-framed embeddings of M to N is the homotopy pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Emb}^B(M,N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Map}_{/B}(M,N) \arrow[d] \\
\mathsf{Emb}(M,N) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Map}_{/\mathsf{BTop(n)}}(M,N),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathsf{Map}_{/X}(M,N)$ is the space of maps of M to N over X, a point of which can be taken to be a map $M \to N$ and a homotopy between the two resulting maps from M to X.

The following assures us that these spaces of embeddings have tractable homotopy types.

Lemma 2.3. *A B-framing τ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ determines a homotopy equivalence $\mathsf{Emb}^B(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Omega_\tau B$ of topological monoids, where $\Omega_\tau B$ is the loop space of B based at the homotopy point $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \to B$.*

Proof. By definition, the space $\mathsf{Emb}^B(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ sits in a homotopy pullback square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Emb}^B(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Map}_{/B}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \arrow[d] \\
\mathsf{Emb}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Map}_{/\mathsf{BTop}(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

There are evident homotopy equivalences $\mathsf{Map}_{/\mathsf{BTop}(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Omega\mathsf{BTop}(n) \simeq \mathsf{Top}(n)$ and likewise $\mathsf{Map}_{/B}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Omega_\tau B$. By the Kister-Mazur theorem, [Ki], the inclusion of $\mathsf{Top}(n)$ into $\mathsf{Emb}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a homotopy equivalence. The map defined by the bottom row is a homotopy inverse to this map, therefore it is a homotopy equivalence. The top horizontal map in the diagram is therefore the pullback of a homotopy equivalence, and thus it is also a homotopy equivalence. ☐

Definition 2.4. The symmetric monoidal ∞-category Disk_n^B is the full ∞-subcategory of Mfld_n^B whose objects are disjoint unions of B-framed n-dimensional Euclidean spaces.

Remark 2.5. If B is a connected space, then Disk_n^B is equivalent to the PROP associated to an operad, defined as follows: first, choose a B-framing of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define the space $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B(I) = \mathsf{Emb}^B(\coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of B-framed embeddings of n-disks. Given a surjection of finite sets $J \to I$, the usual insertion maps $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B(I) \times \prod_{i\in I} \mathsf{Disk}_n^B(J_i) \to \mathsf{Disk}_n^B(J)$ give $\{\mathsf{Disk}_n^B(I)\}$ an operad structure. The ∞-category Disk_n^B is equivalent to the PROP associated to this operad if B connected, otherwise it contains this PROP as a full ∞-subcategory.

Example 2.6. Consider $* \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$, the basepoint of $\mathsf{BTop}(n)$. An $*$-structure on an n-manifold M is then equivalent to a topological framing of the tangent microbundle τ_M of M,[3] and we denote the associated ∞-category of framed n-disks as $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$. $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$ is homotopy equivalent to PROP associated to the $\mathcal{E}_n$ operad of Boardman-Vogt [BV], because there is a natural homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{E}_n(I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Emb}^{\mathsf{fr}}(\coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the inclusion of rectilinear embeddings as framed embeddings.

Example 2.7. For $B = \mathsf{BO}(n)$, with the usual map $\mathsf{BO}(n) \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$, the ∞-category of topological n-disks with $\mathsf{BO}(n)$-framings is equivalent to the ∞-category of smooth n-disks and smooth embeddings, $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{sm}} \simeq \mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{BO}(n)}$. These are both equivalent to the PROP associated to the unoriented version of the ribbon, or "framed," $\mathcal{E}_n$ operad; see [SW] for a treatment of this operad. These equivalences are a consequence of the natural maps $\mathsf{O}(n) \to \mathsf{Emb}^{\mathsf{sm}}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathsf{Emb}^{\mathsf{BO}(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ being homotopy equivalences.

---

[3] By smoothing theory, framed topological manifolds are essentially equivalent to framed smooth manifolds, excepting dimension 4.

3. HOMOLOGY THEORIES FOR TOPOLOGICAL MANIFOLDS

Factorization homology is defined for general manifolds by factorizing the manifolds into disks, on which the values is prescribed. Recall the inclusion of symmetric monoidal ∞-categories $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Mfld}_n^B$, of B-framed n-disks into all B-framed n-manifolds, where the morphism spaces are given by B-framed embeddings.

Definition 3.1. Let $B \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$ be as above, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞-category. Then $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) := \mathsf{Fun}^\otimes(\mathsf{Disk}_n^B, \mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ is the ∞-category of Disk_n^B-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$.

We will frequently make use of the following requirement for our target.

Definition 3.2. A symmetric monoidal ∞-cateogory $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$ satisfies condition $(*)$ if both of the following are true:

- $\mathcal{C}$ contains small colimits.
- The monoidal structure is compatible with geometric realization and filtered colimits, i.e., $C \otimes -$ commutes with colimits of simplicial and filtered diagrams for every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

With this setting, we now give a construction of factorization homology. Recall that a Disk_n^B-algebra A in $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor $A : \mathsf{Disk}_n^B \to \mathcal{C}$, so that there is an equivalence $A(\coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq A(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes I}$. Let M be a B-framed topological n-manifold. M defines a contravariant functor $\mathbb{E}_M : \mathsf{Disk}_n^{B,\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{Spaces}$, given by $\mathbb{E}_M(\coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathsf{Emb}^B(\coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n, M)$, i.e., $\mathbb{E}_M$ is the restriction of the Yoneda embedding of M to the ∞-subcategory of disks.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞-category which has small colimits. Given $B \to \mathsf{BTop}(n)$, let A be a Disk_n^B-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, and let M be a topological n-manifold with structure B. The factorization homology of M with coefficients in A is the homotopy coend of the functor $\mathbb{E}_M \otimes A : \mathsf{Disk}_n^{B,\mathsf{op}} \times \mathsf{Disk}_n^B \to \mathsf{Spaces} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$:

$$\int_M A := \mathbb{E}_M \underset{\mathsf{Disk}_n^B}{\otimes} A.$$

We now give our second main definition of this paper, that of a homology theory. First, note that Cartesian product defines a functor $\mathsf{Mfld}_{n-1}^B \times \mathsf{Mfld}_1^{\mathsf{fr}} \to \mathsf{Mfld}_n^B$, where

$$\mathsf{Mfld}_{n-1}^B = \mathsf{Mfld}_{n-1} \underset{\mathsf{Spaces}_{/\mathsf{BTop(n)}}}{\times} \mathsf{Spaces}_{/B}$$

is the ∞-category of $(n-1)$-manifolds with a B-framing on the product of their tangent bundle product with a trivial line bundle. Consequently, any B-framed n-manifold of the form $M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$, where M_0 is an $(n-1)$-manifold, can be given the structure of a $\mathsf{Disk}_1^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra in Mfld_n^B, since $\mathbb{R}$ has the structure of $\mathsf{Disk}_1^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra in $\mathsf{Mfld}_1^{\mathsf{fr}}$. Likewise, if N is a B-framed n-manifold with boundary ∂N, then the collar-extension $N \cup_{\partial N} \partial N \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ admits the structure of a right (or left) $\partial N \times \mathbb{R}$-module in Mfld_n^B – see [F2] and [AFT] for a further elaboration on this structure.

Definition 3.4. A symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Mfld}_n^{B,\sqcup} \to \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ satisfies $\otimes$-excision if the natural map $\mathcal{F}(M') \otimes_{\mathcal{F}(M_0 \times \mathbb{R})} \mathcal{F}(M'') \to \mathcal{F}(M)$ is an equivalence for every decomposition of a B-framed manifold M along a codimension-1 submanifold

$$M \cong M' \underset{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}}{\cup} M''.$$

The ∞-category of homology theories for B-framed n-manifolds valued in $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$, $\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathcal{C}^\otimes)$, is the full ∞-subcategory of $\mathsf{Fun}^\otimes(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ consisting of functors that satisfy $\otimes$-excision.

Remark 3.5. The notion of a homology theory with coefficients depends critically on the symmetric monoidal structure chosen on $\mathcal{C}$. For instance, for $\mathsf{Mod}_k^\oplus$, with the direct sum monoidal structure, a homology theory is forced to be ordinary homology; for $\mathsf{Mod}_k^\otimes$, the resulting homology theories behave very differently.

Remark 3.6. One can complete the ∞-category Mfld_n^B by adjoining, for every codimension-1 decomposition as above, the geometric realization of $\mathsf{Bar}_\bullet(M', M_0 \times \mathbb{R}, M'')$, then Dwyer-Kan localizing by forcing the natural map from this new object $|\mathsf{Bar}_\bullet(M', M_0 \times \mathbb{R}, M'')| \to M$ to be equivalence. Call this new completion of the ∞-category of manifolds to be $\widehat{\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B}$. A $\otimes$-excisive functor can alternatively be described as a symmetric monoidal functor $\widehat{\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B} \to \mathcal{C}$ that preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects.

The following technical lemma is the crux of the results of this section. We refer to [AFT] or [F2] for the proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy condition $(*)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Factorization homology satisfies $\otimes$-excision. That is, for any Disk_n^B-algebra A in $\mathcal{C}$, and for any decomposition $M \cong M' \cup_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} M''$, there is a natural equivalence:*

$$\int_M A \simeq \int_{M'} A \bigotimes_{\int_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} A} \int_{M''} A$$

Remark 3.8. Briefly, we give the essential ideas for the two proofs of this result. The idea of [F2] is that one might imagine that the functor $\int A$ preserves geometric realizations. Since M is the geometric realization in Spaces of the simplicial object $\mathsf{Bar}_\bullet(M', M_0 \times \mathbb{R}, M'')$ computing the coproduct $M' \cup_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} M''$, one would then obtain that $\int_M A$ is equivalent to the geometric realization of the simplicial object $\mathsf{Bar}_\bullet(\int_{M'} A, \int_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} A, \int_{M''} A)$. But this computes the relative tensor product $\int_M A \simeq \int_{M'} A \otimes_{\int_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} A} \int_{M''} A$, which would give the result. However, while M is this geometric realization in Spaces, but it is *not* necessarily true that is the geometric realization in Mfld_n^B, where colimits are different (and do not exist, in general).[4] One can fix this argument by applying $\mathbb{E}$, because $\mathbb{E}_M$ is the geometric realization of $\mathsf{Bar}_\bullet(\mathbb{E}_{M'}, \mathbb{E}_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{E}_{M''})$, and $\int A$ does preserve geometric realizations when viewed as a functor $\int A : \mathsf{Fun}(\mathsf{Disk}_n^{B,\mathsf{op}}, \mathsf{Spaces}) \to \mathcal{C}$.

The gist of the proof of [AFT] is more conceptual. The essential idea is twofold. First, factorization homology can be pushed forward, Thus, given a choice of map $\pi : M \to [0,1]$, then one can compute $\int_M A$ as $\int_{[0,1]} \int_\pi A$, where $\int_\pi A$ is a Disk_1^∂-algebra, and we chose π for which $\pi^{-1}\{0\} \cong M'$, $\pi^{-1}\{1\} \cong M''$, and $\pi^{-1}(0,1) \cong M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$. Second, factorization homology over a closed interval is given by the two-sided bar construction, which can be shown by direct comparison of the simplicial objects involved.

We give the following $n = 1$ example to give a sense of the usefulness of excision as well as some intuition about how factorization homology behaves. First, recall the equivalence $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \simeq \mathsf{Disk}_1^{\mathsf{fr}}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$, a consequence of the contractibility of $\mathsf{Emb}^{\mathsf{fr}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. See [L2] for a different proof.

Corollary 3.9. *For A an associative algebra, there is an equivalence $\int_{S^1} A \simeq \mathsf{HH}_*(A)$ between the factorization homology of the circle with coefficients in A and the Hochschild homology of A.*

Proof. We have the equivalences

$$\int_{S^1} A \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}} A \bigotimes_{\int_{S^0 \times \mathbb{R}} A} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A \simeq A \bigotimes_{A \otimes A^{\mathsf{op}}} A \simeq \mathsf{HH}_*(A).$$

□

We give the following characterization for factorization homology, the central conceptual result of this paper.

---

[4] In fact, such a statement would imply convergence of the Goodwillie-Weiss tower of embeddings.

Theorem 3.10. *For $\mathcal{C}$ a symmetric monoidal ∞-category satisfying condition $(*)$, there is an equivalence*

$$\int : \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) : \mathsf{ev}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

between Disk_n^B-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ and homology theories of B-framed n-manifolds with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}$. This equivalence is implemented by the factorization homology functor $\int$, and evaluation on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The counit of the adjunction $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \leftrightarrows \mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ defines, for any symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{F}$, a natural map $\int A \to \mathcal{F}$, where $A = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Disk_n^B-algebra defined by the value of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Since both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\int A$ are symmetric monoidal and agree on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the map $\int_M A \to \mathcal{F}(M)$ is an equivalence for M isomorphic to a disjoint union of Euclidean spaces, $M \cong \coprod_I \mathbb{R}^n$. By induction, we can now see that the values of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\int A$ agree on thickened spheres $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, the base case of $k = 0$ just having been shown. In the inductive step, assume the result for $S^{i-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$. Since $S^i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}$ can be decomposed along the thickened equator $S^{i-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$, we obtain the equivalence $\int_{S^i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}} A \simeq \mathcal{F}(S^i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i})$ via the intermediate equvialences

$$\int\limits_{S^i \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}} A \simeq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^i_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}} A \bigotimes_{\int_{S^{i-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}} A} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^i_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}} A \simeq \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^i_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{F}(S^{i-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1})} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^i_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(S^i \times \mathbb{R}^j)$$

where the first equivalence is by the excision property of factorization homology, and the last equivalence is by the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ is a homology theory.

We now restrict to the case of B-framed n-manifolds where n is not equal to 4. By the handlebody theory for topological manifolds, [KS] for $n > 5$, [Q] for $n = 5$, and [Mo] for $n = 3$, all such manifolds admits a handle decomposition. We now prove the result outside dimension 4 by induction on the handle decomposition. The base case is assured. To verify the inductive step, let M be obtained from M_0 by adding a handle of index $q + 1$. Therefore M can be expressed as the union $M \cong M_0 \cup_{S^q \times \mathbb{R}^{n-q}} \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an open neighborhood of the $(q + 1)$-handle in M. The values $\mathcal{F}$ and $\int A$ agree on the three constituent submanifolds of M, and they both satisfy excision, so the values $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \int_M A$ are equivalent.

This leaves the case of topological 4-manifolds, which do not admit handle decompositions in general. However, any topological 4-manifold M admits a smooth structure on the complement $M \smallsetminus \{x\}$ of a point $x \in M$, [Q]. Consequently, $M \smallsetminus \{x\}$ admits a handle decomposition, which can be constructed from any Morse function on $M \smallsetminus \{x\}$, and the preceding argument thereby implies the equivalence $\mathcal{F}(M \smallsetminus \{x\}) \simeq \int_{M \smallsetminus \{x\}} A$. Applying the excision property to the decomposition $M \cong M \smallsetminus \{x\} \cup_{S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$, since $\mathcal{F}$ and $\int A$ agree on the constituent submanifolds, we obtain the equivalence $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq \int_M A$. Therefore every homology theory $\mathcal{F}$ for n-manifolds is equivalent to factorization homology with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

Remark 3.11. There is an analogous theorem available for manifolds with boundary proved in [AFT], where one considers embeddings that map the boundary of the source manifold into the boundary of the target manifold: e.g, $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\partial}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \simeq (\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\partial}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$, where $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\partial}$ has as objects finite disjoint unions of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. These algebras are equivalent in general to B-framed versions of the Swiss-cheese operad introduced by Voronov in [V].

The following example demonstrates how factorization homology specializes to the case of usual homology:

Example 3.12. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\oplus}$ be either the ∞-category of chain complexes or of spectra equipped with the direct sum monoidal structure. Since every object V has a canonical and essentially unique map $V \oplus V \to V$, there is an equivalence $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\oplus}) \simeq \mathcal{C}$. The factorization homology of a framed n-manifold M with coefficients in a complex V is then equivalent to $\int_M V \simeq C_*(M, V)$, or $\Sigma^\infty_* M \otimes V$

for spectra, the stabilization of M smashed with V. There is a natural functor $\varinjlim \mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{fr}} \to \mathsf{Spaces}^{\mathsf{fin}}$, and this functor is an equivalence because: it is fully faithful since $\varinjlim_k \mathsf{Emb}^{\mathsf{fr}}(M\times\mathbb{R}^k, N\times\mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathsf{Map}(M\times\mathbb{R}^\infty, N\times\mathbb{R}^\infty) \simeq \mathsf{Map}(M,N)$ is a homotopy equivalence for every M and N; it is essentially surjective since every finite CW complex X can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^m$ for m sufficiently large, and thus it is homotopy equivalent to a framed n-manifold, an open tubular neighborhood. Theorem 3.10 thereby specializes to the formulation of the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms given in the introduction. If one uses $\mathcal{C}$ to be opposite $\mathsf{Ch}^{\mathsf{op}}$, then one likewise recovers the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for cohomology.

To this point, we have worked with topological manifolds and embeddings with the compact-open topology, but other choices could have been made, for instance, to work with smooth manifolds, or not to have regarded the embeddings spaces as discrete; we now make several remarks as to how these alternate choices would have played out.

Remark 3.13. One could replace the ∞-category of topological n-manifolds and embeddings with that of smooth n-manifolds and smooth embeddings, $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}$, or piecewise linear n-manifolds and piecewise linear embeddings, $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{PL}}$, and the above theorem still holds. The proof, in fact, is even simpler, as the existence of handlebody structures is much easier than the case of topological manifolds. However, a consequence of smoothing theory [KS] is an equivalence

$$\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}} \simeq \mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{BO}(n)}$$

between smooth n-manifolds and $\mathsf{BO}(n)$-framed topological n-manifolds, so long as n is not equal 4, so nothing new is obtained by considering smooth or piecewise linear manifolds rather than B-framed topological manifolds. In the case of smooth 4-manifolds, there is still an equivalence $\mathsf{Disk}_4^{\mathsf{sm}} \simeq \mathsf{Disk}_4^{\mathsf{BO}(4)}$, and so combining the smooth and topological versions of Theorem 3.10 gives an equivalence

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{sm}}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \simeq \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_4^{\mathsf{BO}(4)}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$$

between homology theories for smooth 4-manifolds and homology theories for $\mathsf{BO}(4)$-framed topological 4-manifolds. Since the $\mathsf{BO}(4)$-framing on the tangent microbundle is only a very weak measure of a smooth structure in dimension 4 (e.g., there is a single $\mathsf{BO}(4)$-framing of $\mathbb{R}^4$, in contrast to the uncountably many smooth structures), consequently this form of factorization homology is not a refined invariant of smooth 4-manifolds. One may, however, modify this theory by adding Riemannian structures to the 4-disk algebras, which we will pursue in a future work.

We have chosen to work to this point with Mfld_n, where the morphism spaces $\mathsf{Emb}(M,N)$ have the compact-open topology; a consequence of putting this topology on the spaces of embeddings is that an isotopy equivalence (i.e., an embedding $\psi_0 : M \hookrightarrow N$ for which there is an isotopy through embeddings $\psi_t : [0,1]\times M \to N$ with ψ_1 a homeomorphism) is an equivalence in Mfld_n. This essentially characterizes our use of Mfld_n. That is, we can formulate an equivalent version of everything we have done in which $\mathsf{Emb}(M,N)$ is instead regarded as a set with the discrete topology. Denote by Mfld_n^{δ} the discrete ∞-category of n-manifolds, the nerve of the ordinary category of n-manifolds whose homs are the discrete sets of embeddings, and Istpy the subcategory consisting of isotopy equivalences. Given an n-manifold M and a symmetric monoidal functor $A : \mathsf{Disk}_n^{\delta} \to \mathcal{C}$, we define the factorization homology $\int_M A$ as before, as the coend $\int_M A := \mathbb{E}_M^{\delta} \otimes_{\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\delta}} A$.

Theorem 3.14. *There is a commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\delta}[\mathsf{Istpy}^{-1}]\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\delta}[\mathsf{Istpy}^{-1}], \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \\
\mathsf{Disk}_n\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the vertical functors are induced by restriction along $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\delta} \to \mathsf{Mfld}_n$ *and the horizontal functors are factorization homology.*

Proof. The top horizontal equivalence follows from an identical argument to Theorem 3.10; the left hand vertical equivalence is proved in [L2], by calculating that the left Kan extension along $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\delta} \to \mathsf{Disk}_n$ is the identity for an isotopy invariant functor.

□

In the subsequent sections, we will be solely concerned with the homology theories of Definition 3.4. There do, however, exist very interesting functors in $\mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ which do not satisfy the $\otimes$-excision property. In [BFN], we were particularly concerned with one such construction: given a stack X over k, one can define a functor $\mathsf{Mfld}_n \to \mathsf{Stacks} \to \mathsf{Mod}_k$ given by sending a manifold M to the cotensor with X, $M \rightsquigarrow X^M$, and then taking sheaf cohomology. In the case of the $M = S^1$ the circle, this gives the Hochschild homology of X: $\mathcal{O}(X^{S^1}) \simeq \mathsf{HH}_*(X)$. As soon as X is nonaffine, this construction will generically fail to satisfy $\otimes$-excision. While the cotensor only depends on the homotopy type of M, as we shall see in Corollary 5.1, it has a more refined generalization taking as input a derived stack defined over n-disk algebras, rather than commutative algebras, as in [F1].

Definition 3.15. For a B-framed n-manifold M and a moduli functor $X : \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \to \mathsf{Spaces}$ of B-framed n-disk algebras, the factorization homology of M with coefficients in X is

$$\int_M X := \lim_{A \in \mathsf{Aff}^{\mathsf{op}}_{/X}} \int_M A$$

where $\mathsf{Aff} \simeq \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})^{\mathsf{op}}$ is the image of the Yoneda embedding in $\mathsf{Fun}(\mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}), \mathsf{Spaces})$.

From the vantage offered by Costello & Gwilliam in [CG], this generalization of factorization homology serves as a candidate for the structure of observables in a topological quantum field theory which is not necessarily perturbative, a direction which we will develop in a future work.

4. ITERATED LOOP SPACES AND NONABELIAN POINCARÉ DUALITY

Applying Theorem 3.10, we offer a slightly different perspective, and proof, of the nonabelian Poincaré duality of Salvatore [S1] and Lurie [L2], which calculate factorization homology with coefficients in iterated loop spaces as a compactly supported mapping space.

Definition 4.1. For a space B, Spaces_B consists of the ∞-category of spaces augmented over B. The ∞-category $\mathsf{Spaces}_B^{\geq n}$ is the full ∞-subcategory of Spaces_B consisting of $X \to B$ for which the map is n-connective, i.e., $\pi_* X \to \pi_* B$ is an isomorphism for $* < n$.

Equivalently, an object $X \in \mathsf{Spaces}_B^{\geq n}$ may be thought of a fibration $X \to B$ with a distinguished section, and such that the fiber X_b is n-connective for every point $b \in B$.

Definition 4.2. For $X \in \mathsf{Spaces}_B$ and $M \to B$ a space over B, the space of compactly supported sections of X over M, $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X)$, is the subspace of $\mathsf{Map}_{/B}(M, X)$ consisting of those maps that equal the distinguished map in the complement of some compact subspace of M.

Note that $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(-, X)$ defines a covariant functor $\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B \to \mathsf{Spaces}$, and which is symmetric monoidal with respect to the Cartesian product on the ∞-category of spaces.

Theorem 4.3. *The functor* $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}} : \mathsf{Spaces}_B \to \mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathsf{Spaces})$ *identifies*

$$\mathsf{Spaces}_B^{\geq n} \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathsf{Spaces})$$

n-connective spaces over B as equivalent to the full ∞-subcategory of homology theories of B-framed manifolds consisting of those homology theories $\mathcal{F}$ for which $\pi_0 \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a group.

The following is the core technical detail in the proof, that the assignment of compactly supported sections is $\otimes$-excisive in our sense, provided that the map $X \to B$ is sufficiently connected. The fullness of the functor above will then be a parametrized generalization of May's theorem from [M], identifying n-connective objects as $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebras, which is Theorem 5.1.3.6 of [L2].

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be B-framed manifold, decomposed along a codimension-1 submanifold V, $M \cong M' \cup_{V\times\mathbb{R}} M''$, where $V \hookrightarrow M$ is a closed embedding; let $X \in \mathsf{Spaces}_B^{\geq n}$ be an n-connective space. There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M', X) \underset{\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V\times\mathbb{R},X)}{\times} \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M'', X)$$

between the space of compactly supported sections of X over M and the quotient of the product $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M', X) \times \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M'', X)$ by the diagonal action of $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V \times \mathbb{R}, X)$.

Proof. Since $V \to M$ is a proper map, a compactly supported section over M can be restricted to obtain a compactly supported section over V. Thus, the space $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X)$ is the total space of a fibration:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}((M, V), X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X) \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

That is, the restriction map $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X) \to \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)$ is a fibration, and the fiber over the distinguished section can be identified with the space of compactly supported sections over M with fixed restriction to V. There is a natural action of based loop space $\Omega\,\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)$ on $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}((M, V), X)$, and there is a natural map

$$\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}((M, V), X)_{\Omega\,\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V,X)} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X)$$

from the homotopy orbits of this action to the total space of the fibration. Since X is n-connective and V is $(n-1)$-dimensional, the space $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)$ is connected. Therefore the above map is a homotopy equivalence, since any fibration over a connected space is equivalent to the homotopy orbits of the action of the based loops on the fiber: i.e., the homotopy orbits functor $\mathsf{Mod}_{\Omega Y}(\mathsf{Spaces}) \to \mathsf{Spaces}_{/Y}$ from ΩY-spaces to spaces over Y is an equivalence for any connected space Y.

The space $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}((M, V), X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M \smallsetminus V, X) \cong \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M' \sqcup M'', X) \cong \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M', X) \times \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M'', X)$. The based loop space $\Omega\,\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V \times \mathbb{R}, X)$, and the action of $\Omega\,\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V, X)$ is likewise equivalent to the action of $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V \times \mathbb{R}, X)$ on $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M' \sqcup M'', X)$. We thereby obtain that the natural map

$$\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M' \sqcup M'', X)_{\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(V\times\mathbb{R},X)} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X)$$

is a homotopy equivalence, proving the result.

□

As a consequence, we recover the following theorem of Salvatore [S1] and Lurie [L2]; an essentially equivalent result in terms of amalgamated configuration spaces is given by Segal in [Se3].

Corollary 4.5 (Nonabelian Poincaré duality)**.** *For any X in $\mathsf{Spaces}_B^{\geq n}$, with associated Disk_n^B-algebra $\Omega_B^n X \in \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathsf{Spaces})$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$\int_M \Omega_B^n X \simeq \Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(M, X)$$

between the factorization homology of a B-framed n-manifold M with coefficients in $\Omega_B^n X$ and the space of compactly supported sections of X over M.

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.10: Since $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(-, X)$ is a homology theory, it is equivalent to factorization homology with coefficients in $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$, which is the n-fold loop space of the fiber of the map $X \to B$, $\Gamma_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, X) \simeq \Omega_B^n X$. □

This result specializes to Poincaré duality between twisted homology and compactly supported cohomology. Given $X \simeq \mathsf{BTop}(n) \times K(A, i)$ a product with an Eilenberg-MacLane space, then

$\Omega^n_{\mathsf{BTop}(n)}X \simeq \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, K(A,i)) \simeq K(A, i-n)$ is an n-disk algebra in spaces, where the multiplication is the usual group structure on $K(A, i-n)$ but is equipped with a nontrivial action of $\mathsf{Top}(n)$. We then have an equivalence

$$\int_M \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, K(A,i)) \simeq \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(M, K(A,i))$$

which is the space level version of the equivalence $\mathsf{H}^{\tau}_*(M, A[i-n]) \simeq \mathsf{H}^*_{\mathsf{c}}(M, A[i])$, obtained by applying Ω^∞ to the spectrum level equivalence given by Atiyah duality. Given an A-orientation of M, then one can additionally untwist the left hand side, as usual.

Remark 4.6. The factorization homology $\int_M \Omega^n_B$ is built from configuration spaces of disks in M with labels defined by B, and the preceding result thereby has roots in the configuration space models of mapping spaces dating to the work of Segal, May, McDuff and others in the 1970s, see [Se1], [M], [Mc], and [Bö]. Factorization homology is not a generalization of the classical configuration spaces with labels of, e.g., [Bö], as the configuration space with labels in X models a mapping space with target the n-fold suspension of X, rather than into X itself. Instead, factorization homology generalizes the configuration spaces with *summable* or *amalgamated* labels of Salvatore [S1] and Segal [Se3].

5. Commutative algebras, Lie algebras, free algebras, and Koszul duality

Previously, we have described factorization homology for n-disk algebras in spaces, chain complexes, and spectra, when the monoidal structure is given by products, and the resulting homology theories give rise to twisted mapping spaces and usual homology theories. Factorization homology behaves very differently, and with greater sensitivity to manifold topology, when the monoidal structure on chain complexes or spectra is given by tensor product or smash product – this case is closest to the physical motivation given in the introduction, as well. We will consider this case in the section, focusing on some of the most common classes of n-disk algebra structures, which are either commutative, freely generated, or freely generated by a given Lie algebra structure. We begin with the commutative case. Note first that a commutative algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{fin}} \to \mathcal{C}$ from finite sets to $\mathcal{C}$, and so restriction along the functor $\pi_0 : \mathsf{Disk}^B_n \to \mathsf{Sets}^{\mathsf{fin}}$ defines a forgetful functor $\mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \to \mathsf{Disk}^B_n\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$. Then we have the following consequence of $\otimes$-excision, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a presentable symmetric monoidal ∞-category whose monoidal structure distributes over colimits:

Corollary 5.1. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Mfld}^B_n \times \mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Spaces} \times \mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[r, "\otimes"] & \mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[d, "\text{forget}"] \\
\mathsf{Mfld}^B_n \times \mathsf{Disk}^B_n\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[rr, "\int"'] & & \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, there is a natural equivalence

$$\int_M A \simeq M \otimes A$$

between the factorization homology of M with coefficients in A and the tensor of the commutative algebra A with the space M.

In other words, the factorization homology $\int_M A$ has a natural structure of a commutative algebra when A is commutative, and this commutative algebra has the universal property: there is a natural equivalence, $\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{Com}}(\int_M A, C) \simeq \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{Com}}(A, C)^M$, with the space of maps from M into the mapping space $\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{Com}}(A, C)$, for any commutative algebra C in $\mathcal{C}$.

We now probe the above result slightly further for the two special classes of commutative algebras arising from the cohomology of spaces and the cohomology of Lie algebras; the study of the latter has benefitted greatly from conversations with Kevin Costello and Dennis Gaitsgory.

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a nilpotent space whose first n homotopy groups are finite, $|\pi_i X| < \infty$ for $i \leq n$,[5] and let R be any commutative ring R. There is a natural equivalence*

$$\int_M \mathsf{C}^*(X, R) \simeq \mathsf{C}^*(X^M, R)$$

between the factorization homology of M with coefficient in the R-cohomology of X and the R-cohomology of the space of maps from M to X.

Proof. The two sides are evidently equivalent in the case where M is contractible, so to establish the result it suffices, as usual, to check by induction over a handle decomposition of M. Given a decomposition $M \simeq N \cup_{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \mathbb{R}^n$, we have a homotopy Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X^M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X^{\mathbb{R}^n} \arrow[d] \\ X^N \arrow[r] & X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \end{tikzcd}$$

which gives rise to a natural map in R-homology

$$\mathsf{C}_*(X^M, R) \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}_*(X^N, R) \bigotimes_{\mathsf{C}_*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)} \mathsf{C}_*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R)$$

from the homology of the mapping mapping spaces to the cotensor product of the comodules $\mathsf{C}_*(X^N, R)$ and $\mathsf{C}_*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R)$ over the coalgebra $\mathsf{C}_*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)$. This map is an equivalence exactly if the homological Eilenberg-Moore, or Rothenberg-Steenrod, spectral sequence for this homotopy Cartesian diagram converges. By Dwyer [D], the convergence of this Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence is assured by if the base $X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}$ and the fibrations in the diagram are nilpotent. However, the space of maps X^K is nilpotent for any K if X is by [HMRS], as are the maps since M and N have the homotopy types of finite CW complexes. Consequently, the natural map in R-homology above is an equivalence. The remainder of this argument is checking that we have imposed sufficient finiteness conditions to ensure the convergence in cohomology as well as homology. Dualizing, we obtain an equivalence

$$\Big(\mathsf{C}_*(X^N, R) \bigotimes_{\mathsf{C}_*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)} \mathsf{C}_*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R)\Big)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}^*(X^M, R).$$

Since the first n homotopy groups of X are finite, therefore the mapping space M^K has finitely many components for any n-dimensional CW complex K. Since the source spaces, M, N, $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, and $\mathbb{R}^n$, all have have the homotopy types of finite n-dimensional CW complexes, we obtain that all these mapping spaces have finitely many components. Since they are additionally finite CW complexes, the homology groups of the mapping spaces $\mathsf{H}_i(X^K, R)$ are finite rank over R, and therefore $\mathsf{C}_*(X^K, R)$ is its own double dual: the map $\mathsf{C}_*(X^K, R) \to \mathsf{C}^*(X^K, R)^\vee$ is an equivalence. Likewise, there is an equivalence between the dual of tensor product and the cotensor product

$$\Big(\mathsf{C}^*(X^N, R) \bigotimes_{\mathsf{C}^*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)} \mathsf{C}^*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R)\Big)^\vee \simeq \mathsf{C}_*(X^N, R) \bigotimes_{\mathsf{C}_*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)} \mathsf{C}_*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R) \simeq \mathsf{C}_*(X^M, R),$$

which can be seen by commuting duality with the colimit to obtain a limit of a cosimplicial object, then comparing termwise. Arguing further, one can then conclude the the equivalence $\mathsf{C}^*(X^M, R) \simeq \mathsf{C}^*(X^N, R) \otimes_{\mathsf{C}^*(X^{S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, R)} \mathsf{C}^*(X^{\mathbb{R}^n}, R)$

---

[5] This condition can be weakened if one uses factorization cohomology with coefficients in the commutative coalgebra $\mathsf{C}_*(X, R)$; see Definition 5.13.

□

Remark 5.3. See [GTZ1] for a closely related approach to the study of mapping spaces, in which one approaches the cohomology of a mapping space as a Hochschild homology-type invariant of the cohomology of the target.

The result above has an identical analogue when the cohomology of a space is instead replaced by the cohomology of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Before giving it, it is helpful to have here a technical comparison of factorization homology with different target ∞-categories.

Lemma 5.4. *For $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ a symmetric monoidal functor that preserves geometric realizations, there is an equivalence $G \int \simeq \int G$ of functors $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \to \mathsf{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathsf{Mfld}_n^B, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes})$.*

Proof. It suffices to check that $G \int A$ is a homology theory with values in $\mathcal{D}$, which is immediate. □

Proposition 5.5. *For a pro-nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field k of characteristic zero, there is a natural equivalence $\int_M \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}^M)$, where $\mathfrak{g}^M$ is cotensor of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the space M, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}^M$ is equivalent to the cohomology of M with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathsf{C}^*(M, \mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. $\mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}} : \mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathsf{Mod}_k^{\otimes})^{\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{Mod}_k$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, sending Cartesian products of Lie algebras to tensor products. Less obviously, this functor also preserves geometric realizations when restricted to pro-nilpotent Lie algebras. That is, it sends totalizations of cosimplicial Lie algebras to geometric realizations of simplicial objects in Mod_k; this is a consequence of $\mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}$ defining an equivalence of ∞-categories with pro-nilpotent commutative algebras, a theorem of Hinich for model categories in [H], and the fact that geometric realizations in $\mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathsf{Mod}_k^{\otimes})$ are equivalent to those in the underlying ∞-category. Thus, we can apply Lemma 5.4 and obtain the equivalence

$$\int_M \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}} \int_M \mathfrak{g}$$

where we regard $\mathfrak{g}$ as having a canonical commutative algebra structure with respect to coproduct, hence an n-disk algebra structure, in $\mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}^{\mathsf{op}}$, given by the diagonal map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$. Using the argument of Example 3.12 for the opposite ∞-category of chain complexes, we can identify $\int_M \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^M$ as the cotensor, i.e., cohomology, which completes the proof. □

The reader who prefers characteristic zero may maintain this assumption; for the reader who prefers greater generality, consider the derived Lie operad Lie, defined as the suspension on the dual of the bar construction of Com, and define $\mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes})$ to be algebras for this operad in the ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$; see, for instance, [F1] or [Ch], where this was first effected in the model category setting. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable presentable ∞-category whose monoidal structure distributes over colimits. See also [Gw] for a discussion of the following:

Proposition 5.6. *For $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, there is a natural equivalence*

$$\int_M \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big) \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(M, \mathfrak{g})\big).$$

Proof. $\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}} : \mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) \to \mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, sending Cartesian product of Lie algebras to tensor products in $\mathcal{C}$, and preserves geometric realizations, so Lemma 5.4 applies to give a natural commutation $\int_M \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big) \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\int_M \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$. The equivalence $\int_M \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ now follows from the argument of nonabelian Poincaré duality, only here we apply it in the usual abelian setting.[6]

□

Remark 5.7. The n-disk algebra $\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}))$ has another, perhaps more familiar, interpretation as a type of enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$; see Proposition 5.16.

---

[6] See Section 4 of [AFT] for a further discussion.

In [AFT], we give the following description of factorization homology with coefficients in a free n-disk algebra, when the monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}$ distributes over colimits. Denote by $\mathsf{Free}_n(V)$ the framed n-disk algebra freely generated by $V \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 5.8. *There is an equivalence*

$$\int_M \mathsf{Free}_n(V) \simeq \coprod_{i\geq 0} \mathsf{Conf}_i(M) \underset{\Sigma_i}{\otimes} V^{\otimes i}$$

between the factorization homology of M with coefficients in $\mathsf{Free}_n(V)$ and $\mathsf{Conf}_\bullet(M) \otimes_\Sigma V^{\otimes \bullet}$, the coend of the restriction of $\mathbb{E}_M$ to Σ, the category of finite sets and bijections, with $V^{\otimes\bullet}$, the functor sending $J \rightsquigarrow V^{\otimes J}$.

Remark 5.9. The preceding result has as a consequence that factorization homology is not a homotopy invariant of a closed n-manifold, since the homotopy type of configuration spaces is known to be sensitive to simple homotopy equivalence by [LS].

From Proposition 5.8 and some reasoning on stable splittings of configuration spaces, one can deduce the following. For the previous proposition, we required the monoidal structure of $\mathcal{C}$ to distribute over colimits; for convenience, we next assume $\mathcal{C}$ is stable in addition.

Proposition 5.10. *For any $V \in \mathcal{C}$ and any nonnegative integer $m < n$, there is an equivalence*

$$\int_{S^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n-m}} \mathsf{Free}_n(V) \simeq \mathsf{Free}_n(V) \otimes \mathsf{Free}_{n-m}(V[m]).$$

Proof. Both sides split as coproducts in homogenous terms $V^{\otimes k}$, so it suffices to show that the coefficients of these terms are degreewise equivalent. First, we show the case of $V = \Sigma^\infty X$ a suspension spectrum a pointed connected space X. There is then an equivalence

$$\int_{S^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n-m}} \Omega^n\Sigma^n X \simeq (\Omega^{n-m}\Sigma^n X)^{S^m} \simeq \Omega^n\Sigma^n X \times \Omega^{n-m}\Sigma^n X$$

where the first equivalence is by nonabelian Poincaré duality and the second is by the splitting of the fiber sequence $\mathsf{Map}_*(K,G) \to G^K \to G$ as the product of based maps times constant maps $\mathsf{Map}_*(K,G)\times G$ whenever G is a group.[7] Passing to the suspension spectrum on either side begets the further equivalence

$$\coprod_k \mathsf{Conf}_k(S^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \underset{\Sigma_k}{\otimes} \Sigma^\infty X^{\otimes k} \simeq \Big(\coprod_i \mathsf{Conf}_i(\mathbb{R}^n) \underset{\Sigma_i}{\otimes} \Sigma^\infty X^{\otimes i}\Big) \otimes \Big(\coprod_j \mathsf{Conf}_j(\mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \underset{\Sigma_j}{\otimes} \Sigma^\infty X[m]^{\otimes j}\Big)$$

where for the left hand side we use Proposition 5.10. Collecting coefficients of terms which are homogenous in X determines a Σ_k-equivariant stable homotopy equivalence between

$$\Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Conf}_k(S^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \simeq \coprod_{i+j=k} \Big(\Sigma_k \underset{\Sigma_i}{\times} \Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Conf}_i(\mathbb{R}^n)\Big) \otimes \Big(\Sigma_k \underset{\Sigma_j}{\times} \Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Conf}_j(\mathbb{R}^{n-m})[mj]\Big)$$

where $\Sigma_k \times_{\Sigma_i} -$ is induction from Σ_i-spectra to Σ_k-spectra. This equivalence in homogenous coefficients thus determines the equivalence for general V, not just for the suspension spectrum of a space.

□

The calculations to this point allow the following interesting calculation for the bar construction on a free n-disk algebra. Again, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable presentable symmetric monoidal ∞-category whose monoidal structure distributes over colimits.

---

[7] This is the single step in the argument at which it is necessary for m to be strictly less than n.

Proposition 5.11. *For any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{Free}_n(V) \simeq \mathsf{Free}_{n-1}(V[1])$$

between the bar construction on the free n-disk algebra on V and the free $(n-1)$-disk algebra generated by the suspension of V.

Proof. By $\otimes$-excision for factorization homology of manifolds with boundary from [AFT], there is an equivalence $\mathsf{B}A \simeq \int_{D^1}(A, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ between the bar construction of an augmented n-disk algebra A and the factorization of the closed 1-disk with coefficients in $(A, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$, using the decomposition of the closed 1-disk as $[0,1] \cong [0,1) \cup_{(0,1)} (0,1]$ to write $\int_{[0,1]} A \simeq 1_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A 1_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathsf{B}A$. Again using $\otimes$-excision, we have

$$\int_{[0,1]}(A, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \int_{(S^1,*)}(A, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \int_{S^1} A \underset{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} A}{\otimes} 1_{\mathcal{C}}$$

substituting $A = \mathsf{Free}_n(V)$ and applying Proposition 5.8 then gives the further equivalences

$$\simeq \Big(\mathsf{Free}_n(V) \otimes \mathsf{Free}_{n-1}(V[1])\Big) \bigotimes_{\mathsf{Free}_n(V)} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathsf{Free}_{n-1}(V[1])$$

which gives the final equivalence $\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{Free}_n(B) \simeq \mathsf{Free}_{n-1}(V[1])$. ☐

Remark 5.12. In [F2], it was proven that $\mathsf{B}^n\,\mathsf{Free}_n(V) \simeq 1_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus V[n]$, the free 0-disk algebra on the nth suspension of V, which can be seen as the result of the previous proposition applied n times. Proposition 5.11 is well known in the case of $n = 1$, that the bar construction for the tensor algebra on V is $1_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus V[1]$. It is also entirely to be expected from the example of n-fold loop space, since for a connected pointed space X, we have $\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{Free}_n(X) \simeq \mathsf{B}\Omega^n\Sigma^n X \simeq \Omega^{n-1}\Sigma^n X \simeq \mathsf{Free}_{n-1}(\Sigma X)$. The limiting statement as n tends to ∞ gives the well-known equivalence $\mathsf{BSym}(V) \simeq \mathsf{Sym}(V[1])$. The proof above requires $\mathcal{C}$ to be stable, but the statement should be true with only the requirement that the monoidal structure distributes over colimits, and we invite the interested reader to prove it in this generality.

In the remainder of this section, we will explore the meaning of an interesting coincidence between several of the previous factorization homology calculations – an in depth treatment will appear in [AF]. Given a closed n-manifold M, a pointed n-connective space X, and a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then we have a pair of equivalences:

$$\int_M \mathsf{C}^*X \simeq \Big(\int_M \mathsf{C}_*\Omega^n X\Big)^\vee,$$

$$\int_M \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \Big(\int_M \mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{Lie}}_*\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)\Big)^\vee.$$

Koszul duality for n-disk algebras provides an explanation for these seeming coincidences. The general theory of Koszul duality for algebras over an operad, [GiK], is especially interesting in the case of $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebras, due to the fact that $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebras are Koszul self-dual, up to a shift: that is, the desuspended tangent space $T_\varepsilon A[1-n]$ of an augmented $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra, $\varepsilon : A \to k$, has the structure of a nonunital $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra. See [Fr] or [F2]. However, it is simpler not to invoke the general theory of Koszul duality in order to discuss this duality for n-disk algebras due to a simplification offered by factorization homology: that is, Koszul duality for n-disk algebras is implemented by taking the factorization homology of the closed n-disk D^n. Considering an augmented B-framed n-disk algebra as a $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\partial,B}$-algebra, the factorization homology of the closed n-disk D^n defines a functor

$$\int_{D^n} : \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{alg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)\ .$$

The struct of a coalgebra on $\int_{D^n} A$ is given by an extension-by-zero map, exactly generalizing the n-disk coalgebra structure on the pointed n-sphere $(S^n, *)$ in Spaces_*, which will be discussed in detail in [AF].

We also have a notion of factorization cohomology with coefficients in an n-disk coalgebra.

Definition 5.13. For $C \in \mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ and M a B-framed n-manifolds, the factorization cohomology $\int C : \mathsf{Mfld}_n^{B,\mathsf{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}$ is the end $\int^M C := C \underset{\mathsf{Disk}_n^{B,\mathsf{op}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_M$.

Or, equivalently, one can identify $\mathsf{Disk}_n^B\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \simeq \mathsf{Disk}_n\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{op},\otimes})^{\mathsf{op}}$ and then apply the coend definition from Definition 3.3. For the following result, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable presentable ∞-category whose symmetric monoidal structure distributes over colimits; this is a special case of a more general duality for factorization homology of singular and stratified manifolds, to appear in [AF].

Theorem 5.14. *For A an augmented Disk_n^B-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ and M a closed B-framed $(n-k)$-manifold, there is a natural equivalence of $\mathsf{Disk}_k^{\mathsf{fr}}$-coalgebras*

$$\int_{D^k} \int_{M \times \mathbb{R}^k} A \simeq \int^{M \times \mathbb{R}^k} \int_{D^n} A.$$

In particular, for M a closed B-framed n-manifold, there is a natural equivalence $\int_M A \simeq \int^M \int_{D^n} A$ of objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark 5.15. This result has an interpretation in topological field theory. Denote by $\mathbb{D}^k$ the duality for Disk_k^B-algebras, namely the dual of the factorization homology, $\mathbb{D}^k A := (\int_{D^k} A)^\vee$. Then, up to completion, the preceding theorem implies that there is an equivalence

$$\mathbb{D}^k \int_{M \times \mathbb{R}^k} A \simeq \int_{M \times \mathbb{R}^k} \mathbb{D}^n A$$

and in particular there is an equivalence $(\int_M A)^\vee \simeq \int_M \mathbb{D}^n A$ for M a closed n-manifold. That is, for A and $\mathbb{D}^n A$ Koszul dual n-disk algebras (so that $\mathbb{D}^n \mathbb{D}^n A \simeq A$), the topological field theories $\int A$ and $\int \mathbb{D}^n A$ are likewise dual; i.e., the objects A and $\mathbb{D}^n A$ are dual as objects of $\mathsf{Alg}_n(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)$ in the sense of the cobordism hypothesis of [L3].

We can now apply this result to give an explanation, and another proof, of the seemingly coincidental equivalences. First, we have equivalences $\int_{D^n} \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\int_{D^n} \mathsf{C}_* \Omega^n X \simeq \mathsf{C}_* X$, given by applying Lemma 5.4 to interchange factorization homology $\int_M$ and C_* and then applying Poincaré duality for manifolds with boundary from [AFT]. Applying Theorem 5.14 now reproduces these two results.

The following diagram describes the relation of Koszul duality for n-disk algebras with the standard duality between Lie and commutative algebras. Here, U_n is the n-disk enveloping algebra functor, which specializes for $n = 1$ to the associative enveloping algebra. See [FG] for a construction of U_n, where we consider the corresponding enveloping algebra from Lie algebras to factorization algebras; the final step to obtaining an n-disk algebra is the equivalence between $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}$ and locally constant factorization algebras on $\mathbb{R}^n$, proved by Lurie in [L2].

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[r, "L"] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{U}_n"] \\
\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[r, "\int_{D^n}"] & \mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \\
\mathsf{Lie}\text{-}\mathsf{alg}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}"'] \arrow[u, "\mathsf{U}_n"] & \mathsf{Com}\text{-}\mathsf{coalg}^{\mathsf{aug}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The n-disk algebra $\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}))$ has an interesting separate interpretation:

Theorem 5.16. *There is an equivalence of* $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$*-algebras* $\mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$.

Proof. Recall that n-disk algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ form a full ∞-category of $\mathcal{C}$-valued symmetric monoidal functors on n-manifolds, Theorem 3.10. Thus, to show the equivalence $\mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$ as n-disk algebras, it suffices to show the equivalence of the objects of $\mathcal{C}$, $\int_M \mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \int_M \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$, naturally in M. The calculation

$$\int_M \mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(M, \mathfrak{g}))$$

is Corollary 6.4.4 of [FG], applied in the topological context. □

Remark 5.17. In characteristic zero, the equivalence $\mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$ can be deduced even more directly: there are equivalences $\mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}[1-n]) \simeq \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})\big)$. The left hand equivalence follows from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt filtration of $\mathsf{U}_n\mathfrak{g}$ from [FG]; the right hand equivalence follows from the fact that $\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}[-n]$ is an abelian Lie algebra in characteristic zero.

We now conclude this section with several applications of this point of view, of mixing duality n-disk algebras with factorization homology, the statements of which do not involve factorizaiton homology. Firstly, Theorem 5.16 now relates two ways of calculating factorization homology with coefficients in a free $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{fr}}$-algebra, in terms of configuration spaces (Proposition 5.8) and in terms of Lie algebra homology (Proposition 5.6). Thus, we obtain the following splitting result:

Proposition 5.18. *For* M *a framed* n*-manifold, there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\Big(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}\big(M, \mathsf{Free}_{\mathsf{Lie}}(V)\big)\Big) \simeq \coprod_{i\geq 0} \mathsf{Conf}_i(M) \underset{\Sigma_i}{\otimes} V[1-n]^{\otimes i}$$

Remark 5.19. Note that $\mathsf{Free}_n(V)$ has a Disk_n-algebra refinement in which the group $\mathsf{Top}(n)$ acts trivially – this is the Disk_n-algebra structure used in Proposition 5.8. Likewise, $\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}(\mathsf{Map}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}))$ has a natural Disk_n-algebra with a nontrivial $\mathsf{Top}(n)$ – this is the Disk_n-algebra structure used in Proposition 5.6. These two Disk_n-algebra structures are not equivalent. Thus, factorization homology with coefficients in these two algebras only necessarily agree on framed n-manifolds, and the equivalence above need not hold when the tangent bundle of M is nontrivial.

This has the following corollary.

Corollary 5.20. *There is a contravariant functor* $\partial_i F_n : \mathsf{Spaces}_*^{\mathsf{op}} \to \mathsf{Spectra}_{\Sigma_i}$ *making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Mfld}_n^{\mathsf{fr}} \arrow[r, "\Sigma_*^\infty \mathsf{Conf}_i"] \arrow[d, "(-)^+"'] & \mathsf{Spectra}_{\Sigma_i} \\
\mathsf{Spaces}_*^{\mathsf{op}} \arrow[ur, "\partial_i F_n"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the Σ_i*-equivariant spectrum* $\Sigma_*^\infty \mathsf{Conf}_i(M)$ *is a proper homotopy invariant of a parallelizable topological* n*-manifold* M*.*

Proof. The bifunctor F_n is the composite

$$F_n : \mathsf{Spectra} \times \mathsf{Spaces}_*^{\mathsf{op}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Lie\text{-}alg}(\mathsf{Spectra}) \times \mathsf{Spaces}_*^{\mathsf{op}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Lie\text{-}alg}(\mathsf{Spectra}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Spectra}$$

where the first functor is given by taking the free Lie algebra on the $(n-1)$-fold suspension of a spectrum; the middle functor is given by the cotensor $(\mathfrak{g}, X) \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g}^X$; the right functor is Lie algebra homology: $F_n(V, X) = \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\big(\mathsf{Free}_{\mathsf{Lie}}(V[n-1])^X\big)$. Restating Proposition 5.18, there is an equivalence

$$\int_M \mathsf{Free}_n(V) \simeq F_n(V[n-1], M^+)$$

where M^+ is the 1-point compactification of M. Thus, there is an equivalence of Goodwillie derivatives, $\partial_i(\int_M \mathsf{Free}_n) \simeq \partial_i F_n(-, M^+)$. The i-th Goodwillie derivative of $\int_M \mathsf{Free}_n$ is exactly $\Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Conf}_i(M)$, see Proposition 6.5, so the result follows. $\square$

The requirement above that the tangent bundle be trivial is a largely unnecessary restriction, and we will improve on this result in future work. In particular, variants of Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 5.14 combine to have the following appealing consequence, to appear in [AF]:

Theorem 5.21. *For a closed topological n-manifold M and for all i, the Σ_i-equivariant spectrum $\Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Conf}_i(M)$ is a homotopy invariant of M.*

This generalizes a result due to Aouina & Klein in [AK], who also credit an unpublished result of Fred Cohen & Larry Taylor; Aouina & Klein prove the homotopy invariance of $\Sigma^\infty \mathsf{Conf}_i$, without the Σ_i-equivariance, for closed n-manifolds which admit a piecewise linear structure.

The duality result above also finds application in pure algebra. The following generalizes a theorem of Feigin & Tsygan from [FT] in characteristic zero; see [GK] for a very similar result in the cyclic context.

Theorem 5.22. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over a connective commutative ring k. Assume further that either k is concentrated in degree zero and that for all i the groups $\mathsf{H}_i^{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g}$ are finite rank and nonzero in either only nonpositive or only nonnegative degrees, or that the map $\big((\mathsf{C}^*\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes i}\big)^\vee \to (\mathsf{C}_*\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes i}$ is an equivalence for all finite i. Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathsf{HH}_*(\mathsf{U}\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathsf{HH}_*(\mathsf{C}^*\mathfrak{g})^\vee$$

between the Hochschild homology of the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the dual of the Hochschild homology of its Lie algebra cohomology.

Proof. Using the equivalence between factorization homology of S^1 and Hochschild homology in the case $n = 1$, we apply Theorem 5.14 to obtain the equivalence $\int_{S^1} \mathsf{U}\mathfrak{g} \simeq \int^{S^1} \int_{D^1} \mathsf{U}\mathfrak{g} \simeq \int^{S^1} \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g}$ between the factorization homology of the enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra and the factorization cohomology of the Lie algebra homology. Using the condition that, in particular, $\mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq (\mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g})^\vee$, we can further present the equivalence $\int^{S^1} \mathsf{C}_*^{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq (\int_{S^1} \mathsf{C}^*_{\mathsf{Lie}}\mathfrak{g})^\vee$, using that the dual of the colimit of a diagram is the limit of the dual of the diagram. $\square$

6. Calculi of functors and spectral sequences

There are several filtrations and spectral sequences for factorization homology that should have both conceptual and computational value, and we describe two of them here – details will appear elsewhere. For an n-manifold M and an n-disk algebra A, the factorization homology $\int_M A$ comes equipped with two natural filtrations. Firstly, there is a filtration coming from the manifold variable, arising from the filtration of Disk_n by the number of components – the resulting filtration on $\int_M A$ exactly lies in a covariant generalization of the Goodwillie-Weiss manifold calculus applied to the functor $\int A$. Secondly, there is the filtration in the algebra variable by degree of nilpotence – the resulting cofiltration of $\int_M A$ is exactly that of Goodwillie calculus tower of the functor $\int_M$ at A.

Definition 6.1. $(\mathsf{Disk}_n)_{\leq i} \subset \mathsf{Disk}_n$ forms the full ∞-subcategory of disjoint unions of Euclidean spaces with less than $i+1$ components. For an n-disk algebra A and manifold M, then

$$\Big(\int_M A\Big)_{\leq i} := \mathbb{E}_M \underset{(\mathsf{Disk}_n)_{\leq i}}{\otimes} A$$

is the coend of the restriction of $\mathbb{E}_M$ and A to $(\mathsf{Disk}_n)_{\leq i}$.

There are natural maps $(\int_M A)_{\leq i} \to (\int_M A)_{\leq i+1}$ and an equivalence $\varinjlim(\int_M A)_{\leq i} \simeq \int_M A$.

Proposition 6.2. *The filtration $(\int_M A)_{\leq i}$ has associated graded*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Big(\int_M A\Big)_{\leq i-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Big(\int_M A\Big)_{\leq i} \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathsf{Conf}}_i(M)/\partial \underset{\Sigma_i}{\otimes} A^{\otimes i}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\overline{\mathsf{Conf}}_i(M)$ is the closure of the complement of an open neighborhood of the fat diagonal $\Delta^i_{\mathsf{fat}}M \subset M^i$. I.e., $\overline{\mathsf{Conf}}_i(M)$ is a manifold with boundary equivalent to a sphere bundle on $\Delta^i_{\mathsf{fat}}M$, and the Σ_i action extends to the boundary. If M is realized as the interior of a compact manifold $\overline{M}$, then $\overline{\mathsf{Conf}}_i(M)/\partial$ is equivalent to the 1-point compactification of $\mathsf{Conf}_i(\overline{M})$.

Corollary 6.3. *There is a homologically graded spectral sequence with* $E^1_{p,q} = \mathsf{H}_p\big(\overline{\mathsf{Conf}}_q(M)/\partial \otimes_{\Sigma_q} A^{\otimes q}\big)$ *converging to* $\mathsf{H}_{p+q}\int_M A$.

Remark 6.4. The E^2 term of this spectral sequence admits a description as a derived functor of $\mathsf{H}_*\mathbb{E}_M \otimes_{\mathsf{H}_*\,\mathsf{Disk}_n} \mathsf{H}_*A$.

The Goodwillie calculus of functors is more cleanly suited to the setting of pointed categories, where the initial and final objects are equivalent, and we may switch with ease to this context because the value $\int_M A$ does not depend on the unit of A. That is, we can replace Disk_n by $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{nu}}$, excluding the empty manifold, and there is a natural equivalence $\mathbb{E}_M \otimes_{\mathsf{Disk}_n} A \simeq \mathbb{E}_M \otimes_{\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{nu}}} A$, which is a consequence of the cofinality of the inclusion $\mathsf{Disk}_n^{\mathsf{nu}} \to \mathsf{Disk}_n$.

Proposition 6.5. *For A a nonunital Disk_n^B-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, a stable compactly generated ∞-category with a symmetric monoidal structure that distributes over colimits, then the following is a fiber sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Emb}^B(\coprod_i \mathbb{R}^n, M) \underset{\Sigma_i}{\otimes} LA^{\otimes i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_i \int_M A \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & P_{i-1} \int_M A
\end{tikzcd}$$

where P_k is Goodwillie's k-th polynomial approximation [Go] *applied to the functor $\int_M$, and the cotangent space LA of A is the stabilization $LA := \varinjlim(\Sigma^j A)[-j]$. In particular, the Goodwillie derivative $\partial_i \int_M$ is equivalent to $\Sigma^\infty_* \mathsf{Emb}^B(\coprod_i \mathbb{R}^n, M)$.*

Corollary 6.6. *There is a descending spectral sequence with $E^1_{p,q}$ given by $\mathsf{H}_p(\mathsf{Emb}^B(\coprod_q \mathbb{R}^n, M) \otimes_{\Sigma_q} LA^{\otimes q})$ and converging conditionally to a completion of $\int_M A$.*

Remark 6.7. This spectral sequence has the advantage over the first that the E^1 term is a better approximation to the factorization homology $\int_M A$; it has the disadvantage that convergence is not assured, in part because the convergence of the Goodwillie tower is tied to the pronilpotence of the algebra A.

Remark 6.8. Further techniques and spectral sequence are available in the case of characteristic zero, in part related to the formality of the n-disks operad of [Ko], [T], and [LV]; for instance, there is a commutative-to-noncommutative spectral sequence which takes as input $M \otimes \mathsf{H}_*A$, the tensor of the homology of A, regarded as a commutative algebra, with the space M, and converging to $\mathsf{H}_* \int_M A$. This will be of use in work with Costello in [CF], where we study quantum invariants in low-dimensional topology from the point of view of factorization homology.

References

[A] Andrade, Ricardo. From manifolds to invariants of E_n-algebras. Thesis (PhD) – Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 2010.

[AK] Aouina, Mokhtar; Klein, John. On the homotopy invariance of configuration spaces. Algebraic & Geometric Topology. Volume 4 (2004) 813–827.

[AF] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Poincaré/Koszul duality & factorization homology. Work in preparation.

[AFT] Ayala, David; Francis, John; Tanaka, Hiro Lee. Structured singular manifolds and factorization homology. Preprint. Available at http://www.math.northwestern.edu/∼jnkf/writ

[BD] Beilinson, Alexander; Drinfeld, Vladimir. Chiral algebras. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 51. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[BFN] Ben-Zvi, David; Francis, John; Nadler, David. Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 4, 909–966.

[BV] Boardman, J. Michael; Vogt, Rainer. Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. x+257 pp.

[Bö] Bödigheimer, C.-F. Stable splittings of mapping spaces. Algebraic topology (Seattle, Wash., 1985), 174–187, Lecture Notes in Math., 1286, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[Ch] Ching, Michael. Bar constructions for topological operads and the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity. Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 833–933.

[Co] Cohen, Frederick. The homology of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$-spaces, $n \geq 0$. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976, pp. 207–351.

[CF] Costello, Kevin; Francis, John. Factorization homology and low-dimensional topology. Work in preparation.

[CG] Costello, Kevin; Gwilliam, Owen. Factorization algebras in perturbative quantum field theory. Preprint. Available at http://www.math.northwestern.edu/∼costello/renormalization

[D] Dwyer, William. Strong convergence of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. Topology 13 (1974), 255–265.

[FT] Feigin, Boris; Tsygan, Boris. Cyclic homology of algebras with quadratic relations, universal enveloping algebras and group algebras. K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986), 210–239, Lecture Notes in Math., 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[F1] Francis, John. Derived algebraic geometry over $\mathcal{E}_n$-rings. Thesis (PhD) – Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 2008.

[F2] Francis, John. The tangent complex and Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{E}_n$-rings. To appear, Compos. Math.

[FG] Francis, John; Gaitsgory, Dennis. Chiral Koszul duality. To appear, Selecta Math.

[Fr] Fresse, Benoit. Koszul duality of E_n-operads. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 17 (2011), no. 2, 363–434.

[GJ] Getzler, Ezra; Jones, John. Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces. Unpublished work, 1994. Available at arXiv:hep-th/9403055.

[GK] Getzler, Ezra; Kapranov, Mikhail. Cyclic operads and cyclic homology. Geometry, topology, & physics, 167–201, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, IV, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[GTZ1] Ginot, Grégory; Tradler, Thomas; Zeinalian, Mahmoud. A Chen model for mapping spaces and the surface product. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 43 (2010), no. 5, 811–881.

[GTZ2] Ginot, Grégory; Tradler, Thomas; Zeinalian, Mahmoud. Derived higher Hochschild homology, topological chiral homology and factorization algebras. Preprint.

[GiK] Ginzburg, Victor; Kapranov, Mikhail. Koszul duality for operads. Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), no. 1, 203–272.

[Go] Goodwillie, Thomas. Calculus. III. Taylor series. Geom. Topol. 7 (2003), 645–711.

[Gw] Gwilliam, Owen. Factorization algebras and free field theories. Thesis (PhD) – Northwestern University. 2012.

[HMRS] Hilton, Peter; Mislin, Guido; Roitberg, Joseph; Steiner, Richard. On free maps and free homotopies into nilpotent spaces. Algebraic topology (Proc. Conf., Univ. British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., 1977), pp. 202–218, Lecture Notes in Math., 673, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[H] Hinich, Vladimir. DG coalgebras as formal stacks. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 162 (2001), no. 2-3, 209–250.

[Jo] Joyal, André. Quasi-categories and Kan complexes. Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 175 (2002), no. 1-3, 207–222.

[K] Kallel, Sadok. Spaces of particles on manifolds and generalized Poincaré dualities. Q. J. Math. 52 (2001), no. 1, 45–70.

[KS] Kirby, Robion; Siebenmann, Laurence. Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations. With notes by John Milnor and Michael Atiyah. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 88. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1977. vii+355 pp.

[Ki] Kister, James. Microbundles are fibre bundles. Ann. of Math. (2) 80 1964 190–199.

[Ko] Kontsevich, Maxim. Operads and motives in deformation quantization. Moshé Flato (1937–1998). Lett. Math. Phys. 48 (1999), no. 1, 35–72.

[LS] Longoni, Riccardo; Salvatore, Paolo. Configuration spaces are not homotopy invariant. Topology 44 (2005), no. 2, 375–380.

[LV] Lambrechts, Pascal; Volić, Ismar. Formality of the little N-discs operad. Preprint.

[L1] Lurie, Jacob. Higher topos theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. xviii+925 pp.

[L2] Lurie, Jacob. Higher algebra. Preprint. Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/∼lurie/

[L3] Lurie, Jacob. On the classification of topological field theories. Current developments in mathematics, 2008, 129–280, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.

[M] May, J. Peter. The geometry of iterated loop spaces. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. viii+175 pp.

[Mc] McDuff, Dusa. Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles. Topology 14 (1975), 91–107.

[MS] Milnor, John W; Stasheff, James. Characteristic classes. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. vii+331 pp.

[Mo] Moise, Edwin. Affine structures in 3-manifolds. V. The triangulation theorem and Hauptvermutung. Ann. of Math. (2) 56, (1952). 96–114.

[MW] Morrison, Walker. Blob Complex. Preprint. Available at arXiv:1009.5025v2

[Q] Quinn, Frank. Ends of maps. III. Dimensions 4 and 5. J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 3, 503–521.

[S1] Salvatore, Paolo. Configuration spaces with summable labels. Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998), 375–395, Progr. Math., 196, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[SW] Salvatore, Paolo; Wahl, Nathalie. Framed discs operads and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. Q. J. Math. 54 (2003), no. 2, 213–231.

[Se1] Segal, Graeme. Configuration-spaces and iterated loop-spaces. Invent. Math. 21 (1973), 213–221.

[Se2] Segal, Graeme. The definition of conformal field theory. Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, 421–577, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[Se3] Segal, Graeme. Locality of holomorphic bundles, and locality in quantum field theory. The many facets of geometry, 164–176, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010.

[T] Tamarkin, Dima. Formality of chain operad of small squares. Unpublished work.

[V] Voronov, Alexander. The Swiss-cheese operad. Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998), 365–373, Contemp. Math., 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[W] Weiss, Michael. Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. I. Geom. Topol. 3 (1999), 67–101.

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208-2370
E-mail address: `jnkf@northwestern.edu`